A Clear Path Forward to Incorporate AI Enhanced Reflection Tools Effectively in HIPs

Rebecca Thomas, Bucknell University & **Jeffrey Yan**, Digication

AAC&U CLASS 2026 | Saturday, April 18 | 9:15–10:15 AM MT

The Problem We All Recognize

We invest heavily in high-impact practices like internships, undergraduate research, service learning, study abroad, and first-year seminars because the research says they work. But the research also says they work best when students reflect on what they are experiencing. And most of the time, that reflection isn't happening in a meaningful way.

We've all read the end-of-semester reflections that describe what happened without ever getting at what it meant. Students write that the project was "interesting" or that the experience "helped them grow" but the thinking behind those claims stays invisible.

> *The experience happened. The meaning didn't land.*

This isn't a student effort problem. It's a scaffolding problem. Most students haven't been taught how to reflect at the level we're hoping for, and traditional prompts ("What did you learn?") don't get them there.

Why This Matters More Than You Think

Reflection is the practice that makes HIPs high-impact.

Without reflection, a student can complete an internship and still not be able to articulate what they learned. With it, they surface the reasoning behind their choices, analyze failures, embrace vulnerability, and name how their thinking has changed. That's the kind of evidence rubrics can't capture and the kind of growth that makes HIPs worth the investment. The challenge is doing this at scale, across programs, without it becoming another compliance task. That's where a new generation of tools comes in.

What Changes When Reflection Is Guided

Real student writing from an engineering course at Bucknell University

Without Guided Reflection

"The class itself was also pretty straightforward. I do not feel like there was ever really trick questions or in any case did I feel like I was in a position where I was at a loss."

Describes events, not thinking. Hides struggle. No evidence of growth.

With AI-Guided Reflection

"I would ask for help sooner when we were confused on the code. We were so intent on finding the error ourselves that we spent an hour combing through it… It's helped my perspective on mistakes. Mistakes do not equal failure."

Analyzes a specific failure. Embraces vulnerability. Articulates a shift in perspective.

Same course. Same students. Same semester. The difference is how they were asked to reflect.

How It Works

A pedagogy-first framework, not just a technology

The approach is grounded in TORI (The Taxonomy of Reflective Inquiry), a structured framework that organizes reflection across six core domains and over 60 categories. TORI gives faculty a shared language for what "good reflection" looks like, and gives AI tools a pedagogical foundation so they scaffold thinking rather than just generating text.

1. Cognitive & Analytical
Systematic thinking, problem-solving, analytical processing

2. Emotional & Affective
Understanding and processing emotions and affective responses

3. Social & Interpersonal
Examining relationships, interactions, and group dynamics

4. Personal Growth
Self-awareness, development, and individual growth processes

5. Cultural / Ethical
Cultural contexts, ethical considerations, environmental factors

6. Life Transitions
Major life changes, transitions, and developmental milestones

Built on TORI, the AI reflection tool acts as a conversational partner, not a form. Instructors set the learning outcomes and reflection framing; students experience a dialogue where follow-up questions push their thinking deeper, tied to their actual work. The tool provides feedback on responses and tailors prompts to encourage both deeper and broader types of reflection.

> *"It felt like a real conversation, not a box to check."*
>
> — Student feedback

In an informal poll, students said the 10-minute reflections didn't feel long. An unexpected benefit: the guided process helped them translate classroom experiences into skills they could name on a resume or discuss in a job interview.

Importantly, this does not replace human mentorship. Faculty conversations, peer discussion, and advisor feedback remain essential to demonstrate the value placed on reflection and to support student development. The AI extends reach and access; the people provide the care.

What This Means for Your Campus

If your institution runs HIPs such as internships, service learning, undergraduate research, study abroad, and first-year seminars, reflection is almost certainly part of the conversation already. The question is whether it's producing the depth of evidence you need.

Reflection today becomes assessment evidence tomorrow

Every guided reflection is an artifact of learning: authentic, timestamped, archived, and ready for accreditation. You don't need a separate evidence-collection process.

Individual reflections become program-level insight

A collection of reflections across a semester or program is a dataset. AI-assisted analysis can surface recurring themes, common misconceptions, and growth trajectories that no single instructor could see alone.

Sustainable for students, sustainable for HIPs

The same practice that deepens student learning also generates evidence of what HIPs are actually producing without adding reporting burden to faculty or program coordinators.

Starting the Conversation

You don't need to overhaul your program to begin. Start with one course or one HIP context. Ask one open question instead of four. Model what a reflective conversation looks like by sharing your own thinking with students. Do it often. Brief, frequent reflections build the habit and produce a richer longitudinal record than a single end-of-semester essay.

If you'd like to explore what this could look like at your institution, or want to share this with colleagues who are thinking about how to use AI meaningfully in student learning, we'd welcome the conversation.

Let's Keep the Conversation Going

TORI Framework tori.digication.com
Slides & Resources digication.com/events/aacu-class-2026
Rebecca Thomas rebecca.thomas@bucknell.edu
Jeffrey Yan jyan@digication.com